SINGLE BENCH

CF1002

(1) 4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION (C) No.5580 /2006

PETITIONER

Heeralal Thakur, aged about 35 years, S/o Shri Brisram, R/o Village Mudpar, Tahsil Kasdol, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 5592/06
Presented by Shri Pravin Kateche
on 9/10/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Forest Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur

2. Union of India, through Secretary Forest Department, New Delhi

3. Forest Range Officer, Charoda Baranwapara (Abhyaran), Distt. Raipur

4. Divisional forest Officer, General Forest Division, Raipur

RECEIVED-COPY
Barkha
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR
9-10-06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

25

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक ..W.P.(C).-5580/ **सन्** 2006

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

12.10.2006

Shri Parag Kotecha, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Arun Sao, Govt. Advocate for the State/respondents No.1, 3 & 4 on advance copy.

Shri Raghvendra Pradhan, counsel for respondent No.2/ Union of India on advance copy.

Arguments heard.

Order dictated as follows:

ORAL ORDER
(12.10.2006)

SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.

By way of this writ petition, filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner, has prayed for issuance of certain directions to the State authorities to formulate the rules pertaining to the constitution and election of the members of *Van Suraksha Samiti*. Along with the said prayer, he has also prayed that the memo Annexure P-3, which schedules the date for election of the office bearers of *Van Suraksha Samiti*, should also be quashed.

The subject matter of this Writ Petition is exactly identical to that of the subject matters of WP No.5524/2006 and W.P. No.5525/2006, which have been heard and dismissed by this Court vide orders dated 10.10.2006.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned counsel for the State/respondents does not dispute this factual position.

In view of the above, this Writ Petition is also dismissed at the motion stage on the grounds and reasons stated in orders dated 10.10.2006 passed in W.P. No.5524/2006 and W.P. No.5525/2006.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

Shyni